pre employment drug test invalid

pre employment drug test invalid results can have significant implications for both employers and job applicants. Understanding the reasons behind an invalid drug test is crucial for ensuring fair hiring practices and compliance with legal standards. This article explores the common causes of invalid pre employment drug test results, the procedures involved in drug testing, and the steps that can be taken when a test is deemed invalid. Additionally, it covers the impact of invalid results on employment decisions and the rights of applicants. By examining these aspects, employers and candidates can better navigate the complexities surrounding pre employment drug screening and avoid potential disputes or misunderstandings.

- Understanding Pre Employment Drug Tests
- Common Causes of Invalid Drug Test Results
- Procedures and Standards for Accurate Drug Testing
- Implications of an Invalid Pre Employment Drug Test
- Legal Considerations and Employee Rights
- Steps to Take After Receiving an Invalid Test Result

Understanding Pre Employment Drug Tests

Pre employment drug tests are screening tools used by employers to identify the presence of illegal or prohibited substances in a job candidate’s system before hiring. These tests help maintain workplace safety, productivity, and compliance with company policies or regulatory requirements. Typically, drug testing involves collecting biological samples such as urine, saliva, hair, or blood, which are then analyzed in certified laboratories.

Types of Drug Tests

Various types of drug tests are utilized in pre employment screening, each with distinct detection windows and accuracy levels. The most common methods include:

- **Urine Tests:** The most widely used due to affordability and reliable detection of recent drug use.
- **Saliva Tests:** Useful for detecting very recent drug use but with a shorter detection window.
- **Hair Tests:** Capable of detecting drug use over a longer period but more expensive.

- **Blood Tests:** Typically used in specialized cases due to invasiveness and cost.

Purpose and Importance of Drug Screening

The purpose of pre employment drug testing is to ensure a safe and drug-free workplace environment. It helps employers reduce the risk of accidents, improve employee health and productivity, and comply with legal or contractual obligations. Accurate drug testing is essential to uphold the integrity of the hiring process and protect both the company and applicants from erroneous decisions.

Common Causes of Invalid Drug Test Results

An invalid pre employment drug test occurs when the test cannot be reliably interpreted due to procedural errors, sample contamination, or other issues. Understanding these causes can help prevent invalid results and ensure accurate outcomes.

Sample Collection Errors

One of the primary reasons for an invalid drug test is improper sample collection. Errors during this stage can include:

- Incorrect labeling or identification of samples
- Insufficient sample volume or dilution
- Contamination of the sample during collection or handling
- Improper storage or transportation affecting sample integrity

Laboratory Testing Issues

Laboratories may report a test as invalid due to technical problems such as:

- Equipment malfunction or calibration errors
- Interference from substances that affect test chemistry
- Degradation of the sample before analysis
- Failure to meet chain-of-custody protocols

Adulteration or Tampering

Sometimes, applicants may attempt to alter their test results, leading to invalid outcomes. This can include:

- Use of adulterants or masking agents in the sample
- Substitution of samples
- Excessive dilution by consuming large amounts of fluids

Procedures and Standards for Accurate Drug Testing

Ensuring the validity of pre employment drug tests requires strict adherence to established procedures and standards. These protocols promote accuracy and fairness in the testing process.

Chain of Custody Protocol

The chain of custody is a documented process that tracks the sample from collection to analysis. Maintaining an unbroken and verifiable chain of custody is crucial to prevent tampering and ensure that results are legally defensible.

Certified Laboratories and Testing Methods

Employers should use laboratories accredited by recognized bodies, such as the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA). Certified labs follow standardized testing methods and quality control measures to minimize errors and false results.

Employee Notification and Consent

Candidates must be informed of the drug testing procedure and provide consent before sample collection. Transparency in this process helps maintain trust and compliance with legal requirements.

Implications of an Invalid Pre Employment Drug Test

An invalid drug test result can complicate the hiring process and have various

consequences for both applicants and employers.

Impact on Job Applicants

For candidates, an invalid test may lead to delays in hiring decisions or require retesting. It can also cause anxiety and uncertainty about their employment prospects. In some cases, invalid results might be mistaken for attempts to conceal drug use, potentially harming the applicant’s reputation.

Employer Challenges

Employers may face difficulties in making informed hiring decisions when confronted with invalid test results. Additional testing increases costs and prolongs the recruitment timeline. Moreover, mishandling invalid results may result in legal challenges or discrimination claims.

Retesting and Alternative Solutions

To resolve invalid results, employers often request a retest or use alternative testing methods. Clear policies on retesting and handling invalid results help maintain fairness and consistency in the hiring process.

Legal Considerations and Employee Rights

Pre employment drug testing must comply with federal, state, and local laws that regulate privacy, discrimination, and workplace safety. Understanding these legal frameworks is essential when dealing with invalid test results.

Confidentiality and Privacy

Employers are required to maintain the confidentiality of drug test results, including invalid ones. Unauthorized disclosure can lead to legal liability and damage to the applicant’s privacy rights.

Non-Discrimination and Fair Treatment

Discriminating against candidates based solely on invalid drug test results may violate employment laws. Employers should ensure that decisions are based on verified and accurate information while providing opportunities for candidates to explain or contest results.

State-Specific Regulations

Different states have varying rules regarding drug testing, including permissible substances, testing methods, and handling of invalid results. Employers must stay informed about applicable laws in their jurisdiction to avoid legal complications.

Steps to Take After Receiving an Invalid Test Result

When a pre employment drug test is invalid, a systematic approach is necessary to address the situation appropriately and maintain the integrity of the hiring process.

Verification and Documentation

Employers should obtain detailed documentation from the testing laboratory explaining the reason for the invalid result. This information is critical for determining the next steps and maintaining proper records.

Retesting Procedures

Most organizations require a retest to confirm the candidate’s drug status. Retesting should follow the same stringent collection and testing protocols to ensure accuracy.

Communication with the Candidate

Clear and professional communication with the applicant is important to explain the invalid result, retesting requirements, and any deadlines. Transparency reduces misunderstanding and promotes cooperation.

Policy Review and Improvement

Employers should periodically review their drug testing policies and procedures to minimize the occurrence of invalid results. Training for collection staff and selecting reputable laboratories are key preventive measures.

Frequently Asked Questions

What does it mean if a pre-employment drug test is

marked as invalid?

An invalid pre-employment drug test means the test results cannot be interpreted due to errors in sample collection, tampering, or improper handling, making the results unreliable.

What are common reasons for a pre-employment drug test to be invalid?

Common reasons include insufficient specimen quantity, sample contamination, improper temperature of the sample, or laboratory errors during testing.

Can an invalid pre-employment drug test delay the hiring process?

Yes, an invalid test often requires a retest, which can delay the hiring decision until a valid result is obtained.

Is it legal for employers to reject a candidate based on an invalid drug test?

Employers typically require a valid test result; an invalid test may lead to a retest, and refusal or inability to provide a valid sample could result in rejection.

How should a candidate respond if their pre-employment drug test is invalid?

Candidates should promptly communicate with the employer or testing agency, request clarification, and comply with retesting procedures to provide a valid sample.

Can hydration or other factors cause a pre-employment drug test to be invalid?

Excessive hydration may dilute a urine sample leading to a diluted result, which is different from invalid; however, improper sample conditions or tampering can cause invalid results.

Are invalid drug test results common in pre-employment screenings?

Invalid results are relatively uncommon but can occur due to errors in collection or handling; most tests yield valid results when protocols are followed correctly.

What steps do laboratories take to prevent invalid pre-employment drug test results?

Laboratories follow strict protocols for sample handling, temperature checks, chain of custody documentation, and quality control to minimize invalid results.

Can a candidate dispute an invalid pre-employment drug test result?

Yes, candidates can request a retest or provide additional evidence, but since invalid means no valid result was obtained, usually a retest is required for clarity.

What impact does an invalid pre-employment drug test have on job eligibility?

An invalid test delays the drug screening process, and a valid test is typically required to determine eligibility; failure to provide a valid test may affect job offers.

Additional Resources

1. *Understanding Pre-Employment Drug Test Invalidity: Causes and Consequences*
This book explores the various reasons why pre-employment drug tests may be deemed invalid, including procedural errors, improper sample handling, and testing inaccuracies. It provides a comprehensive overview of the legal and ethical implications of invalid test results. Readers will gain insight into how organizations can minimize invalid tests and maintain fair hiring practices.

2. *Challenging Pre-Employment Drug Test Results: A Practical Guide*
Focused on individuals and employers, this guidebook offers strategies for contesting invalid or questionable pre-employment drug test results. It outlines the steps to request retesting, understand laboratory procedures, and navigate the legal landscape. The book also discusses the importance of maintaining privacy and the rights of job applicants.

3. *Pre-Employment Drug Testing Protocols and Their Limitations*
This text provides an in-depth look at the standard protocols used in pre-employment drug testing and highlights common pitfalls that can lead to invalid results. It examines the scientific and procedural factors affecting test reliability, including chain of custody and sample contamination. Employers and HR professionals will benefit from its recommendations for improving testing accuracy.

4. *Legal Perspectives on Invalid Pre-Employment Drug Tests*
Offering a thorough legal analysis, this book reviews case law and regulatory standards related to invalid pre-employment drug tests. It discusses employers' responsibilities, employee rights, and the consequences of wrongful disqualification due to testing errors. Legal practitioners and HR managers will find valuable guidance on compliance and risk management.

5. *The Science Behind Drug Testing: Understanding False Positives and Invalid Results*
This book delves into the scientific principles underlying drug testing technologies and explains why false positives and invalid results occur. It covers biochemical markers, testing methods, and the impact of various substances on test outcomes. Readers will gain a nuanced understanding of how to interpret and question drug test findings.

6. *Pre-Employment Drug Testing: Ethical Issues and Invalid Results*

Addressing the ethical dimensions of drug testing, this book discusses the implications of invalid test results on privacy, fairness, and discrimination. It explores how organizations can balance safety concerns with respect for individual rights. The book also suggests best practices for ethical decision-making in employment screening.

7. *Managing Pre-Employment Drug Test Disputes: Policies and Procedures*
This resource offers practical advice for HR professionals on handling disputes arising from invalid pre-employment drug tests. It includes sample policies, communication strategies, and steps for conducting investigations. The book aims to help organizations resolve conflicts fairly and maintain a positive workplace culture.

8. *The Impact of Invalid Pre-Employment Drug Tests on Workforce Diversity*
Examining the broader social implications, this book investigates how invalid drug test results can affect workforce diversity and inclusion efforts. It presents research on demographic disparities in testing outcomes and offers recommendations for equitable hiring practices. Employers seeking to foster diverse teams will find this work particularly insightful.

9. *Advances in Drug Testing Technology: Reducing Invalid Results in Employment Screening*
This title highlights the latest technological developments aimed at minimizing invalid pre-employment drug test outcomes. It covers innovations in testing equipment, sample analysis, and data verification. The book provides a forward-looking perspective for employers and labs interested in adopting more reliable screening methods.

Pre Employment Drug Test Invalid

Find other PDF articles:
http://www.devensbusiness.com/archive-library-302/Book?dataid=URf96-9344&title=formula-sheets-for-math.pdf

pre employment drug test invalid: Drug and Alcohol Testing of Commercial Motor Vehicle Drivers United States. Congress. House. Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure. Subcommittee on Highways and Transit, 2007

pre employment drug test invalid: Pre-employment Drug Testing Program of the U.S. Postal Service United States. Congress. House. Committee on Post Office and Civil Service. Subcommittee on Postal Personnel and Modernization, 1989

pre employment drug test invalid: Federal Register , 2003-07-25

pre employment drug test invalid: The Code of Federal Regulations of the United States of America , 2001 The Code of Federal Regulations is the codification of the general and permanent rules published in the Federal Register by the executive departments and agencies of the Federal Government.

pre employment drug test invalid: Code of Federal Regulations , 2012 Special edition of the Federal register, containing a codification of documents of general applicability and future effect as of April 1 ... with ancillaries.

pre employment drug test invalid: *Code of Federal Regulations, Title 49, Transportation, PT. 1-99, Revised as of October 1, 2015* U S Office of the Federal Register, 2016-01-29 The Code of

Federal Regulations is a codification of the general and permanent rules published in the Federal Register by the Executive departments and agencies of the United States Federal Government. 49 CFR Parts 1-99 covers the Office of the Secretary of Transportation and the employee responsibilities, conduct, and rulemaking procedures within the United States Department of Transportation. Employees of the U.S. Department of Transportation will be most interested in this volume, as well as lawmakers and American citizens interested in the Department of Transportation operations and policies. Other related products: Americans With Disabilities: 2010 can be found here: https://bookstore.gpo.gov/products/sku/803-044-00126-7 Other products published by the U.S. Department of Transportation can be found here: https://bookstore.gpo.gov/agency/199

pre employment drug test invalid: Title 49 2009 Secretary of Transportation (Parts 1-99) Code of Federal Regulations, 2009-10

pre employment drug test invalid: Code of Federal Regulations, Title 49, Transportation, PT. 1-99, Revised as of October 1, 2012 , 2013-01-25

pre employment drug test invalid: Title 49 Transportation Parts 1 to 99 (Revised as of October 1, 2013) Office of The Federal Register, Enhanced by IntraWEB, LLC, 2013-10-01 49 CFR Transportation

pre employment drug test invalid: 2017 CFR Annual Print Title 49 Transportation Parts 1 to 99 Office of The Federal Register, 2017-07-01

pre employment drug test invalid: Code of Federal Regulations, Title 49, Transportation, Pt. 1-99, Revised as of October 1, 2009 , 2009-01-20

pre employment drug test invalid: Undercover Investigations for the Workplace Eugene Ferraro, 1999-11-23 As security professionals, lawyers, personnel directors, and corporate executives are confronted by the demands of loss prevention, asset protection, and ever-expanding employee rights, there is a growing demand for more up-to-date information about workplace investigations. This book defines and explores the process of undercover investigations as well as delving into the legal aspects of undercover and the role of an effective litigation avoidance strategy. Undercover Investigations makes a rational and authoritative plea for legitimacy of undercover in the workplace. Undercover Investigations is sufficiently detailed as to serve the reader who is contemplating an undercover investigation for the first time, or one who uses them regularly. It contains several modern case studies, statistics checklists and references making it an authoritative work on the subject of undercover and workplace investigations. Authoritative work that will serve as a useful handbook Provides modern case studies, statistics and checklists Explores the legal aspects of undercover and the role of an effective litigation avoidance strategy

pre employment drug test invalid: Pre-Employment Background Investigations for Public Safety Professionals Frank A. Colaprete, 2012-05-10 ***Author Radio InterviewJoin Dr. Frank A. Colaprete for an upcoming interview on the Privacy Piracy show on KUCI 88.9FM. Click here on September 2nd, 2013 at 8:00 a.m. PST to listen in.Pre-employment investigations have been the subject of intense review and debate since 9/11 made the vetting of applicants a critical function of every organization

pre employment drug test invalid: Workplace Drug Testing MD, FFFLM, Steven B. Karch, 2007-10-08 Extracted from the Drug Abuse Handbook, 2nd edition, to give you just the information you need at an affordable price. Using sample protocols from the transportation and nuclear power industries, Workplace Drug Testing reviews current federal regulations and mandatory guidelines for federal workplace testing programs and

pre employment drug test invalid: Complete Guide to Human Resources and the Law, 2019 Edition Shilling, 2018-09-14 The Complete Guide to Human Resources and the Law will help you navigate complex and potentially costly Human Resources issues. You'll know what to do (and what not to do) to avoid costly mistakes or oversights, confront HR problems - legally and effectively - and understand the rules. The Complete Guide to Human Resources and the Law offers fast, dependable, plain English legal guidance for HR-related situations from ADA accommodation, diversity training, and privacy issues to hiring and termination, employee benefit plans, compensation, and

recordkeeping. It brings you the most up-to-date information as well as practical tips and checklists in a well-organized, easy-to-use resource. The 2019 Edition provides new and expanded coverage of issues such as: The Supreme Court held in March 2016 that to prove damages in an Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA) donning/doffing class action, an expert witness' testimony could be admitted Tyson Foods, Inc. v. Bouaphakeo, 136 S. Ct. 1036 (2016). Executive Order 13706, signed on Labor Day 2015, takes effect in 2017. It requires federal contractors to allow employees to accrue at least one hour of paid sick leave for every 30 hours they work, and unused sick leave can be carried over from year to year. Mid-2016 DOL regulations make millions more white-collar employees eligible for overtime pay, by greatly increasing the salary threshold for the white-collar exemption. Updates on the PATH Act (Protecting Americans From Tax Hikes; Pub. L. No. 114-113. The DOL published the fiduciary rule in final form in April 2016, with full compliance scheduled for January 1, 2018. The rule makes it clear that brokers who are paid to offer guidance on retirement accounts and Individual Retirement Arrangements (IRAs) are fiduciaries. In early 2016, the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) announced it would allow charging parties to request copies of the employer's position statement in response to the charge. The Supreme Court ruled that, in constructive discharge timing requirements run from the date the employee gives notice of his or her resignation--not the effective date of the resignation. Certiorari was granted to determine if the Federal Arbitration Act (FAA) preempts consideration of severing provisions for unconscionability. Previous Edition: Complete Guide to Human Resources and the Law, 2018 Edition ISBN 9781454884309

pre employment drug test invalid: *Subversion of Drug Testing Programs* United States. Congress. House. Committee on Energy and Commerce. Subcommittee on Oversight and Investigations, 2005

pre employment drug test invalid: Operator Drug- and Alcohol-testing Across Modes Dary D. Fiorentino, Randi Shannahan, Gene Bergoffen, 2011 TRB Commercial Truck and Bus Safety Synthesis Program (CTBSSP) Synthesis 23: Operator Drug and Alcohol Testing Across Modes explores practices used to deter drug and alcohol use among operators within the U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT) regulated community. The report includes a brief history of the transportation workplace drug and alcohol testing program, the general approach, the reasons for testing, some of the issues that impact the validity of the tests, and an outline of the specific regulations by mode. Some alcohol and drug testing statistics are presented in the report to help provide a sense of the scope of the program and of the prevalence of illegal alcohol and drug use among safety sensitive employees. The report also highlights alternative strategies aimed at helping to deter illegal alcohol and drug use among employees.

pre employment drug test invalid: Public Employee Discharge and Discipline Isidore Silver, 2001-01-01 Managing public employment cases in today's volatile, fast changing legal arena is no easy task. Just keeping up with the complex developments in constitutional, labor, civil service, administrative, and common law can be a full-time job. Aspen Publishers' Public Employee Discharge and Discipline is the definitive work on every aspect of public employment law. This invaluable two-volume resource is the only one of its kind to deal with all public employment disciplinary and discharge issues for federal, state and municipal employees. The Third Edition offers thorough analysis and in-depth discussion of such essential topics as: First Amendment and whistleblowing Public sector collective bargaining and arbitration Due process in discipline and discharge Administrative and judicial review Title VII, ADA, FMLA, and other discrimination laws Sexual harassment under 1983, Title IX, and Title VII Drug testing Invasion of privacy Applicability of common law tort and contract principles of wrongful discharge Summaries of federal and state cases Also, with Public Employee Discharge and Discipline, you will also get a BONUS CD-ROM containing over 30 easy-access, customizable forms as well as current surveys of state and federal cases! Public Employee Discharge and Discipline has been updated with the latest developments, including: Latest developments in the movement to limit or abrogate public employment collective bargaining Gross v. FBL Financial Services, a Supreme Court decision requiring an employee to prove that age

discrimination was andquot;the soleandquot; and andquot;but forandquot; cause of discharge under the ADEA, 29 U.S.C. and§ 623(a) Adoption of Gross andquot;Sole Motiveandquot; Standard by Seventh Circuit in Fairley v. Andrews and Serwatka v. Rockwell Analysis of Thompson v. N.A. Stainless L.P., a 2011 unanimous Supreme Court decision that retaliation against a fiancandée for an employee's Title VII claim was actionable Discussion of Staub v. Proctor, another 2011 unanimous Supreme Court decision that a supervisor's bias may be andquot;a motivating factorandquot; for, and a proximate cause of, a discriminatory discharge, if it played some role in contributing to it, whether or not a non-biased decisionmaker conducted an independent investigation Evidentiary issues in discrimination litigation, including Sprint/United Management Co. v. Mendelsohn, a Supreme Court holding that andquot;me tooandquot; evidence of age discrimination - comments against other employees by other supervisors - may be admissible if relevant to the culture of the employer and Reid v. Google, Inc., a California Supreme Court decision that non-decisionmaker co-workers' andquot;stray remarksandquot; were relevant to an age discrimination claim Discussion of 14 Penn Plaza LLC v. Pyett, a Supreme Court decision that a CBA providing arbitration as the sole remedy for ADEA claims and noting that Gilmer andquot;fully applies in the collective bargaining contextandquot; City of Ontario v. Quon, wherein the Supreme Court upheld monitoring of employer issued text-messaging devices to determine whether costs to the police department were being unduly inflated by personal calls as a andquot;reasonableandquot; search under the Fourth Amendment In re Golinski, a Ninth Circuit decision that denial of health benefits to married homosexual federal employee under the Health Benefits Act, 5 U.S.C. and§ 8903(1) because of a purported ban under the Defense of Marriage Act, 1 U.S.C. and§ 7, was impermissible under principles of statutory interpretation and other decisions that DOMA violated Equal Protection Continuing a

pre employment drug test invalid: Measurement and Evaluation in Physical Activity Applications Phillip A. Bishop, 2017-06-30 This book takes a learner-oriented approach as it strives to make complex material understandable and usable. By understanding the underlying principles of measurement and evaluation, readers will then be able to apply those principles and concepts in a variety of physical activity and health-related settings. Practical exercises and applications demonstrate the usefulness of measurement and evaluation, reinforce key points, and make readers active participants in their own education. The book is divided into three parts. Part One introduces the measurement process, showing readers the relevance of measurement and evaluation to their personal and professional lives, and including examples and statistics related to such concepts as validity, reliability, and objectivity. The two chapters in Part Two further help readers understand numbers and assist those who need to use more advanced statistical calculations. Part Three presents measurement and evaluation applications in various settings, such as measuring physical fitness; measuring exercise, physical activity, and health; measuring in competitive sports and coaching; measuring and evaluating knowledge and assigning grades; and measuring in research. Throughout, discussions and examples show the relevance and application of measurement and evaluation in various professions, including physical therapy, athletic training, fitness/wellness management, exercise and sport psychology, exercise science, coaching, and physical education.

pre employment drug test invalid: Workplace Drug Testing Alain G. Verstraete, 2011 This comprehensive text provides clear explanations of the effects of drugs on human performance and the need for workplace drug testing. It provides essential information on the regulatory and legal frameworks around the world, how to set policies and coverage of all aspects of drug analysis and the associated interpretation of results.Contents include:* epidemiology of drug use in the working population* the evidence base and guidelines for workplace drug testing* legal, regulatory aspects and policies for drugs and alcohol* urine and alternative sample collection process* analytical techniques and specimen adulteration.Case studies of successful programmes are also included to illustrate the principles discussed.Written by internationally acknowledged experts this informative book will be essential reading for anyone interested in workplace drug testing or setting up such a system including clinical and forensic toxicologists, occupational health physicians, nurses, human

resources, drug counselling and treatment providers, analytical chemists and lawyers.Alain Verstraete is Professor at the Department of Clinical Chemistry, Microbiology and Immunology, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium and Department Head of the Toxicology Laboratory of the Laboratory of Clinical Biology, Ghent University Hospital, Ghent, Belgium.

Related to pre employment drug test invalid

□□□□**pre**□□□□□□ - □□ □□ 2011 □ 1 □□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□

invalid drug tests — an indication of tampering to hide drug use — rose more than six-fold in 2023 versus the prior year, according to a Quest Diagnostics analysis of 9.8

Data: Fentanyl positivity 7x higher in random workplace drug tests than in pre-employment tests (Yahoo1mon) AUSTIN (KXAN) — A new report from Quest Diagnostics, a diagnostic testing and health information services provider, revealed the percentage of employed people who test positive for fentanyl in random

Data: Fentanyl positivity 7x higher in random workplace drug tests than in pre-employment tests (Yahoo1mon) AUSTIN (KXAN) — A new report from Quest Diagnostics, a diagnostic testing and health information services provider, revealed the percentage of employed people who test positive for fentanyl in random

Pre-employment drug testing issues exacerbate labor crisis (WGRZ3y) BUFFALO, N.Y. — Changes in state law and a growing availability of jobs are affecting the pre-employment drug testing industry, and the companies that rely on the service. New York state's

Pre-employment drug testing issues exacerbate labor crisis (WGRZ3y) BUFFALO, N.Y. — Changes in state law and a growing availability of jobs are affecting the pre-employment drug testing industry, and the companies that rely on the service. New York state's

Up in smoke: Providers rethinking pre-employment drug screens (McKnight's Long-Term Care News3y) With medical marijuana now legal in three-fourths of all states, some long-term care employers say that pre-employment testing is weeding out otherwise ideal job candidates. They're reconsidering

Up in smoke: Providers rethinking pre-employment drug screens (McKnight's Long-Term Care News3y) With medical marijuana now legal in three-fourths of all states, some long-term care employers say that pre-employment testing is weeding out otherwise ideal job candidates. They're reconsidering

Columbia to end pre-employment marijuana testing Oct. 1 (KOMU2y) COLUMBIA − The city of Columbia announced a major change to pre-employment drug testing at the city council meeting Monday. Starting Oct. 1, anyone seeking employment with the city will no longer be

Columbia to end pre-employment marijuana testing Oct. 1 (KOMU2y) COLUMBIA − The city of Columbia announced a major change to pre-employment drug testing at the city council meeting Monday. Starting Oct. 1, anyone seeking employment with the city will no longer be

Cut Costs and Increase Safety with Pre-employment Drug Testing (EHS Today1y) Maintaining a drug-free workplace is an important component of a safe working environment. In this year of major cost-cutting and shrinking budgets for occupational health and safety programs, however

Cut Costs and Increase Safety with Pre-employment Drug Testing (EHS Today1y) Maintaining a drug-free workplace is an important component of a safe working environment. In this year of major cost-cutting and shrinking budgets for occupational health and safety programs, however

No payment due for pre-employment drug test time and travel costs (San Diego Union-Tribune3y) California law generally requires an employer to compensate employees while employees are under the employer's control. In addition, Labor Code section 2802 requires an employer to compensate its

No payment due for pre-employment drug test time and travel costs (San Diego Union-Tribune3y) California law generally requires an employer to compensate employees while employees are under the employer's control. In addition, Labor Code section 2802 requires an employer to compensate its

Pre-employment drug testing issues exacerbate labor crisis (The Business Journals3y) Logistics and transportation delays are among several factors affecting pre-employment drug testing - and exacerbating the labor crisis. Changes in state law and a growing availability of jobs are

Pre-employment drug testing issues exacerbate labor crisis (The Business Journals3y) Logistics and transportation delays are among several factors affecting pre-employment drug testing - and exacerbating the labor crisis. Changes in state law and a growing availability of jobs are

Back to Home: http://www.devensbusiness.com